



Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2010, 99, 595-613. With 4 figures

Molecular phylogeny and evolution of the Asian lineage of vole genus *Microtus* (Rodentia: Arvicolinae) inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome *b* sequence

ANNA A. BANNIKOVA¹*, VLADIMIR S. LEBEDEV²*, ANDREY A. LISSOVSKY², VERA MATROSOVA³, NATALIA I. ABRAMSON⁴, EKATERINA V. OBOLENSKAYA² and ALEXEY S. TESAKOV⁵

¹Lomonosov Moscow State University, Vorobievy Gory, 119992, Russia ²Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, B. Nikitskaya 6, 125009, Russia ³Engelhardt Institute of Molecular Biology, RAS, Moscow 119991, Russia ⁴Zoological Institute RAS, Universitetskaya nab. 1, 199034, St.Petersburg, Russia ⁵Geological Institute RAS, Pyzhevsky 7, 119017 Moscow, Russia

Received 12 July 2009; accepted for publication 13 September 2009

To examine phylogenetic relationships within the Asian lineage of voles (Microtus) belonging to subgenus Alexandromys, the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene (cytb) was sequenced for its representatives, and the results were compared with the cytogenetic, morphological, and paleontological data. In all the trees inferred from maximum likelihood, parsimony, and Bayesian phylogenetic analyses, the Asian clade is subdivided into highly supported Alexandromys s.s. and moderately supported Pallasiinus lineages. Four subclades are recovered within Alexandromys: (1) Microtus maximowiczii and Microtus sachalinensis; (2) Microtus miiddendorffii s.l., Microtus mongolicus and Microtus gromovi; (3) Microtus fortis; and (4) Microtus limnophilus. Thus, M. limnophilus demonstrates clear affinities to Alexandromys s.s. but not to Microtus oeconomus (subgenus Pallasiinus), which was always regarded as its sibling species. The results obtained indicate M. mongolicus as a member of Alexandromys but not of the Microtus arvalis group, thus being concordant with the cytogenetic data. The mitochondrial data support the species status of M. gromovi; moreover, its placement as a part of a trichotomy with M. miiddendorffii s.l. and M. mongolicus contradicts the traditional affiliation of *M. gromovi* with *M. maximowiczii*. The divergence rate of cytb third position transversions in *Microtus* is estimated at approximately 8% per Myr, which corresponds to approximately 30% per Myr for all substitution types at all codon positions. The maximum likelihood distance based on complete sequence showed a tendency for a progressive underestimation of divergence and time for older splits. According to our molecular clock analysis employing nonlinear estimation methods, the split between Alexandromys and Pallasiinus and basal radiation within *Alexandromys* date back to approximately 1.2 Mya and 800 Kya, respectively. © 2010 The Linnean Society of London, Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2010, 99, 595-613.

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: Alexandromys – Cricetidae – East Palearctic – molecular clock – molecular taxonomy.

INTRODUCTION

Phylogenetic reconstructions of relationships among species of the diverse genus *Microtus* Schrank, 1798 on the basis of morphological and karyological traits are problematic as a result of mosaicism in their formation. Above the level of species groups (such as the 'arvalis' group), chromosome data might be phylogenetically uninformative as a result of convergent evolution of some traits and conservatism of others (Agadzhanyan & Yatsenko, 1984; Modi, 1996). Although the fossil record of *Microtus* is one of the most detailed among extant rodent genera (Gromov &

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: hylomys@mail.ru; wslebedev@mail.ru

Polyakov, 1977; Rabeder, 1981; Rekovets & Nadachowski, 1995), for many lineages, it is still inphylogenetic sufficiently complete for precise reconstructions. The examination of genetic differentiation of common voles demonstrated that some subgenera of *Microtus* are artificial groupings combining unrelated lineages, which is readily explained by abundant morphological parallelisms (Jaarola et al., 2004; Golenishchev & Malikov, 2006). An impressive insight into Microtus phylogeny was provided by analyses of variation in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Conroy & Cook, 2000b; Jaarola et al., 2004). According to the results of the latter study, the initial stage of diversification within the genus resulted in the formation of four to six main branches. One of these clades, designated as the 'Asian' lineage, includes representatives of Alexandromys and Pallasiinus subgenera (sensu Pavlinov, Yakhontov & Agadjanian, 1995). Following this pattern, taxonomic interpretation accepted in MSW3 (Musser & Carleton, 2005) favours lumping these two taxa into a single subgenus Alexandromys s.l., However, most of the species traditionally assigned to these subgenera were absent from the sample examined previously. In the present study, we focus on the subgenus Alexandromys Ognev, 1914 s.s. (or 'calamorum' species group, sensu Ellerman, 1941; Meyer, 1983) that is believed to include six to nine species distributed exclusively in the Eastern Palearctics. The molecular phylogenetic relationships among them have never been examined previously. Although the subgenus was intensively studied previously by means of comparative morphometry, cytogenetics, and experimental hybridization (Meyer et al., 1996), several issues remain unresolved. First, the taxonomic position of Microtus mongolicus is unclear. For a long time, this species was considered to be a well differentiated subspecies within polymorphic Microtus arvalis s.l., a species complex that is now demonstrated to be quite distant from Alexandromys. Later cytogenetic studies confirmed the full species status of M. mongolicus and its affinity to the 'arvalis' group was questioned. Although many studies suggested its close relationship to Alexandromys (Orlov, Yatsenko & Malygin, 1983; Agadzhanyan & Yatsenko, 1984; Radjably et al., 1984), the necessity of additional evidence to confirm this hypothesis was always stressed. Moreover, according to an alternative viewpoint, this species might be a sole representative of a separate monotypic lineage (Gromov & Erbajeva, 1995). Second, for some decades, the subgeneric affiliation of Microtus middendorffii was also considered controversial (Gromov & Polyakov, 1977). Although molecular data indicate its affinity to Alexandromys proper (Conroy & Cook, 2000b), the exact phylogenetic position of M. middendorffii within the group remains obscure.

In addition, there is still a lack of consensus in the interpretation of the status of *Microtus middendorffii hyperboreus* that is treated either as a subspecies or a distinct species (Litvinov, 2001 versus Meyer *et al.*, 1996). Third, a recent study (Sheremetyeva *et al.*, 2009) inferred the full species status of *Microtus maximowiczii gromovi* (Vorontsov *et al.*, 1988) from cytogenetic and morphometric evidence. However, this conclusion warrants corroboration from a comparative molecular genetic data. The phylogenetic relationships of *M. gromovi* with other species of the group remain to be established. Finally, our sample includes *Microtus limnophilus*, whose status as a sister-species to *Microtus oeconomus* (Malygin, Orlov & Yatsenko, 1990) has never been tested.

Thus, the present study is aimed to elucidate the phylogenetic relationships and to determine the content of the subgenus *Alexandromys*. In our study, we sequenced the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene (cytb) to incorporate previously published data on *Microtus* species. Both the rate and mode of evolution of this gene make it extremely useful for phylogenetic reconstructions over the expected divergence times corresponding to the level of species and subgenera of voles.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimens examined

Tissue samples were taken from 23 vole specimens. All voucher specimens are deposited in the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University (ZMMU) or in the Zoological Institute RAS (ZIN RAS) in St Petersburg. The list of species, collecting sites, museum catalogue numbers, and GenBank Accession numbers are given in the Appendix (Table A1). Species identifications were based on morphological criteria and performed prior to the molecular analysis. To confirm identification of specimens of *Microtus gromovi*, a partial sequence of *cytb* was obtained for the type specimen (collected in Yakutia-Sakha, Neryungrinskiy District, Bolshoye Toko Lake, July 1986, stored in alcohol, collection of ZMMU, N S-140238).

DNA ISOLATION, POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (PCR) AMPLIFICATION, AND SEQUENCING

Genomic DNA was isolated from ethanol-fixed liver, kidney or muscles by proteinase K digestion, phenolchloroform deproteinization, and isopropanol precipitation (Sambrook, Fritsch & Maniatis, 1989).

Complete or partial cytb was sequenced in 23 voles (Table A1). A gene region that included the whole of the mitochondrial cytb gene was amplified by PCR with the forward/reverse primer combination L14728/ H15906arvic (Lebedev *et al.*, 2007). DNA of an *M*.

gromovi type specimen was purified directly using MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) after Yang et al. (1998) from muscle and bone tissue of the ZMMU museum specimen collected 22 years ago. Most of primers for PCR and sequencing were designed for the present study and are presented in the Appendix (Table A2). Short fragments of the gene were amplified with different combinations of primers: L506MM/H15576MO (220 bp) and L506MM/H669East (185 bp), and two additional primers (L410 East and L555 East) were used for sequencing the 154-bp fragment. To avoid contamination, the extraction and amplification of the DNA of the *M. gromovi* type specimen was performed independently in two different laboratories (Department of Vertebrate Zoology of Lomonosov Moscow University and 'Genom', Engelhardt Institute of Molecular Biology).

Double-stranded PCR usually entailed 30–35 thermal cycles: 30 s of denaturation at 94 °C, 1 min of annealing at 57–62 °C and 1 min of extension at 72 °C. PCR products were visualized on 1% agarose gel and then purified using DEAE Watman or NH₄EtOH. Approximately 10–40 ng of the purified PCR product was used for sequencing with each primer using an autosequencing system ABI 3100-Avant using ABI PRISM®BigDyeTM Terminator, version 3.1.

SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

To test the significance of compositional heterogeneity, values of disparity index (Kumar & Gadagkar, 2001) for all codon positions combined were calculated in MEGA, version 4 (Tamura *et al.*, 2007). Corresponding *P*-values were estimated with the use of Monte-Carlo tests (5000 replicates). To control for the increased type I error as a result of simultaneous testing of multiple hypotheses, we applied the false discovery rate procedure (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) modified for correlated statistics by Benjamini & Yekutieli (2001). The false discovery rate was kept at 0.05.

To reveal saturation for different substitution classes/codon positions, uncorrected pairwise differences (*p*-distances) were plotted against maximum likelihood (ML) distances based on the total data set.

OUTGROUP CHOICE

We consider *Chionomys* to be the most adequate outgroup for monophyletic *Microtus* s.l., including such taxa as *Lasiopodomys*, *Blanfordimys*, and *Stenocranius*. The phylogenetic position of *Stenocranius* recovered in previous analyses of the *cytb* data was ambiguous (Jaarola *et al.*, 2004; Bužan & Kryštufek, 2008; Robovský, Řičánková & Zrzavý, 2008), suggesting that it might be sister to *Chionomys* or *Chionomys* + *Microtus*. However, nuclear genes (GHR exon 10 and LCAT) provide sufficient evidence for the monophyly of *Microtus s.l.* with respect to *Chionomys* (Abramson *et al.*, 2009; Galewski *et al.*, 2006).

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS: GENERAL OUTLINES

Phylogenetic analysis was performed in two steps. At the first stage, to reveal taxa phylogenetically close to Alexandromys and Pallasiinus, we analysed an extended alignment including 215 sequences that represent almost all the known mitochondrial lineages of Microtus and Chionomys that are divergent by more than 1%. The list of sequences retrieved for this purpose from the GenBank is given in the Supporting information (Table S1). The complete sample includes nine of 11 species that unambiguously belong to subgenera Alexandromys and Pallasiinus according to contemporary checklists (Musser & Carleton, 2005; Pavlinov, 2006). This dataset was tested for departure from base homogeneity as described above. Phylogenetic relationships were estimated under the ML criterion as implemented in RaxML, version 7.0.4 (Stamatakis, 2006).

At the second stage, we focused on a subset of species that demonstrate affinity to the Asian clade sensu Conrov & Cook (2000b), as a consequence of the results of the provisional ML analysis. Because the number of taxa was reduced at this step, a more comprehensive analysis employing several tree reconstruction and molecular dating methods could be accomplished. Here, only seventeen sequences of Chionomys and Microtus were used as potential outgroups for the Asian clade. The criteria for their choice were two-fold: (1) each of the main lineages of Microtus, as revealed in Jaarola et al. (2004), should be represented by several species and (2) the nucleotide composition in the selected outgroup taxa should be as similar as possible to that in the ingroup. The latter requirement is justified by the necessity to minimize biases as a result of compositional heterogeneity, of which the effect on phylogenetic inference is hardly predictable a priori (Jermiin et al., 2004).

TREE RECONSTRUCTION

At the first stage, the ML tree for the complete dataset was inferred in RAxML using rapid hillclimbing algorithm and estimating individual GTR+G models for the three codon positions. Node support values were generated with the implication of rapid bootstrapping option (three independent runs of 300 replicates each).

To reconstruct the final ML tree, appropriate models of sequence evolution were chosen as implemented in MODELTEST, version 3.7 (Posada & Crandall, 1998) based on Bayesian information criterion. A separate model was determined for each of the three codon positions. The ML tree was reconstructed in TREEFINDER (Jobb, 2008) with simultaneous optimization of tree topology and model parameter values. Rate heterogeneity among sites was modelled assuming a gamma distribution for substitution rates (discrete approximation, four categories) without invoking the proportion of invariant sites. Twenty random trees obtained with the 'Generate Start Trees' procedure were used as the starting point. Bootstrap analysis (1000 pseudoreplicates) was performed with model parameters and partition rates fixed at the values optimal for the ML topology and Neighbourjoining tree tree as the initial topology. Bootstrap support percentages were defined as: 50-69%, low; 70-84%, moderate; and 85-100%, high.

Bayesian phylogenetic analysis (Huelsenbeck *et al.*, 2001) was conducted using the program MrBayes, version 3.12 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). Separate models corresponding to those suggested by MODELTEST were used for the three codon positions with all parameters unlinked. Two separate runs were performed with the set of parameters: four chains, heating parameter = 0.2, five million generations, and sampling frequency = 2000. TRACER, version 1.4 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2007) was employed to check for convergence and to detemine the burn-in fraction.

Phylogenetic maximum parsimony (MP) analyses were performed using PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2000). Unweighted MP analysis was performed using a heuristic search starting with stepwise addition trees (random addition sequence, 100 replicates) and employing tree bisection-reconnection branch-swapping. To assess clade stability, 1000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates were analysed using the same options. To check for potential topological bias as a result of saturation of transitions in the third codon positions, additional analysis was conducted with the third positions recoded into purines and pyrimidines.

MOLECULAR CLOCK ANALYSIS

Rate heterogeneity among taxa was determined with the use of hierarchical likelihood ratio tests as implemented in PAML, version 4.0 (Yang, 2007) and relative-rate tests as implemented in RRTree (Robinson *et al.*, 1998; Robinson-Rechavi & Huchon, 2000). Taxa for which evidence was found suggesting that they violate clock assumption were excluded from the analysis. To find the best measure of genetic divergence for our molecular estimates of split times, we examined three sets of node heights obtained from ML ultrametric trees in PAUP*: (1) D_{1-3} as calculated for all codon positions and substitutions types assuming common GTR+I+G model; (2) $D_{1,2,3}$ averaged across values calculated separately for each of the three codon positions applying position-specific models; and (3) D_{tv3} based on third position transversions only (CF+I+G model). Standard errors (and variances) of node heights were estimated using 1000 bootstrap replicates generated in PAUP*.

In our molecular clock analysis, we attempt to accommodate the phenomenon of rate decay (time dependency of rate estimates) that might have serious implications for dating recent (< 1-2 Mya) evolutionary events (Ho et al., 2005, 2008; Henn et al., 2009). Rate decay is manifested as a lack of linear relationship between divergence time and genetic distance, thus introducing bias into split age estimates. A direct analysis of the decay curve could be performed only if multiple fossil-based calibration points were available, which appears to be unfeasible thus far. As a workaround, we considered an additional assumption suggesting that the divergence measure estimated from transversions at the third codon positions (D_{tv3}) experiences only minor to negligible decay. A rigorous test on this assumption would require independent evidence and could not be performed within the limits of the present study. Nevertheless, this supposition does not appear to be unrealistic given that the time dependency of rate in protein-coding genes is just moderate (Henn et al., 2009) and that the mode of evolution for the third position transversions is more clocklike than for other substitution classes (Irwin et al., 1991). At the same time, the hypothesis that D_{tv3} is significantly less affected by rate decay than other measures can be verified directly with the data using a nonlinear regression approach. Finally, provided that D_{tv3} may be used as a reasonable proxy for relative age, we can model the behaviour of the decay curve for other measures, at least within some temporal intervals.

Linearity between the measures was tested using nonlinear estimation techniques as implemented in STATISTICA, version 6 (StatSoft, 2001). In all models, D_{tv3} was treated as the dependent variable. To fit a nonlinear regression, a weighted least-squares loss function was used, with the weights being the inverse of variances of D_{tv3} values. To estimate the standard errors of predicted values, 1000 bootstrap sets of D_{1-3} and D_{tv3} node heights were analysed in an R-environment using a script invoking facilities for nonlinear estimation implemented in the 'stats' package.

Clock calibration was based on the assumption of Late Pliocene radiation of the basal lineages of Microtus (2.2 Mya). By this time the ancestor of Microtus s.l. (Allophaiomys-like arvicolines) dispersed across the Palearctics (from Europe in the west to China in the east), as well as to Nearctics (Tesakov, Vangengeim & Pevzner, 1999; Zheng & Zhang, 2000; Martin et al., 2008). It is this time slice that marks the onset of divergent evolution of multiple Microtus lineages throughout the Northern Hemisphere. Given this consideration, we regard the above-mentioned calibration not as the upper bound, but rather as the expectation for the age of basal radiation in Microtus. To account for uncertainty of the calibration date, we used 0.2 Myr as its standard error. Although multifossil calibration might increase the accuracy of age estimates, at this stage, we preferred to base our analysis on a single but credible calibration point. The rational for this approach stems from ambiguity with respect to a phylogenetic interpretation of fossil data and poor resolution of early microtine lineages as a result of both insufficient morphological differentiation and abundant parallelisms (Repenning, 1992; Martin & Tesakov, 1998).

RESULTS

The final alignment contained 1140 bp. Partial sequences longer than 900 bp were included in all analyses. The length of three sequences was much shorter; thus, they were omitted from the data set used for tree reconstruction. Only 154 bp were sequenced for the type specimen of M. gromovi. This fragment was identical to the corresponding part of the complete sequence obtained for a vole trapped in the Uda River valley. This allowed us to attribute the latter to M. gromovi. The length of the fragment sequenced for the second specimen from the Uda river was 419 bp, and it differed from the first one by two third position transitions only.

The partial sequence obtained for one of the two specimens of M. *limnophilus* was 541 bp long; it showed no change compared to the complete sequence.

SEQUENCE COMPOSITION AND SATURATION

Base composition conforms to the pattern expected for mammalian mitochondrial protein coding genes (Irwin, Kocher & Wilson, 1991).

The analysis of matrix of *P*-values for disparity index measures (DI) using the false discovery rate controlling procedure showed that base composition in *Microtus* is significantly heterogenous. Despite the conservative nature of the applied method, H_o was rejected in at least 30% of the pairwise tests. Importantly, most of Alexandromys and Pallassiinus demonstrate a deviant base composition as demonstrated by a higher C/T ratio. The evidence on disparity between members of the Asian clade and other species is summarized in the Supporting information (Table S1). The strongest departure from homogeneity was revealed in pairwise comparisons contrasting Alexandromys and Pallassiinus against Chionomys or Microtus s.s. Nevertheless, in all lineages except Chionomys, we were able to identify species with a base composition relatively similar to that in the Asian clade (e.g. Microtus socialis in Sumeriomys, Microtus duodecimcostatus in Terricola, Microtus chrotorrhinus in the New World clade, Microtus kirgisorum in the nominotypical subgenus). Within Alexandromys and Pallassiinus, the observed heterogeneity is relatively weak. The only highly significant test corresponds to disparity between Microtus kikuchii (GenBank AF348082) and M. middendorffii from Chukotka. However, it should be noted that Microtus montebelli and M. kikuchii appear to be rather divergent from the rest of the Asian clade whereas, at the same time, they are somewhat closer to other groups of Microtus.

Saturation plots (not shown) demonstrate some saturation only for transitions at third codon positions. Nevertheless, the plot for this substitution class still do not reach plateau for ML distance > 0.25, indicating that meaningful phylogenetic signal is retained even when distantly related taxa are compared.

Phylogenetic results: the analysis of the complete data set

The results of the fast ML analysis of the complete data set (Fig. 1) demonstrate that all taxa traditionally attributed to Alexandromys proper (Microtus fortis, M. middendorffii, M. mongolicus, M. maximow*iczii*, *Microtus sachalinensis*) constitute a highly supported monophyletic group which, however, also includes M. limnophilus. This clade clusters together with unsupported Pallasiinus (M. oeconomus, M. montebelli, M. kikuchii), thus reproducing the Asian clade sensu Conroy & Cook (2000b). The latter is sister to the lineage containing Microtus (Phaiomys) leucurus, Microtus (?Neodon) irene and Microtus (Subgen.?) clarkei (referred hereafter as the Phaiomys clade). In general, the inferred topology of the Microtus tree agrees with the previous results (Jaarola et al., 2004; Bužan & Kryštufek, 2008; Bannikova, Lebedev & Golenishchev, 2009) in recovering several well differentiated lineages with varying support (Microtus s.s. + Sumeriomys, Terricola, Agricola + Blanfordimys and New World clades). Stenocranius and Lasiopodomys occupy a basal position and are highly divergent from all other taxa.



Figure 1. Relationships among major lineages of *Microtus* as inferred by RAxML. Support values estimated with the use of fast bootstrapping (three runs \times 300 replicates) are given above branches. *Chionomys* is used as the outgroup for *Microtus s.l.*

Given this tree pattern, not only Alexandromys and Pallasiinus, but also members of the Phaiomys clade should be included into analysis of relationships within the Asian group at the next stage. However, nucleotide content in both *M. irene* and *M. clarkei* deviates significantly from that in most other Asian voles. Therefore, to control for potential adverse effects of compositional heterogeneity on topological accuracy, all tree searches were performed with *M. irene*, *M. clarkei* and *M. leucurus* either included or excluded. The outgroup selected for the second round of analysis consisted of Chionomys roberti, Chionomys nivalis and fifteen species of Microtus s.l. (see Supporting information, Table S1). Among these, only *M. arvalis* and both *Chionomys* demonstrate highly significant compositional difference from *Alexandromys* and *Pallasiinus*.

TREE RECONSTRUCTIONS: ASIAN CLADE

The results of Bayesian, ML and MP analyses of the dataset not including the *Phaiomys* lineage are presented in Figure 2A.

In accordance with the recommendations of MODELTEST in the Bayesian analysis, we used a HKY+I+G, HKY+I and GTR+I+G model for the first,

second, and third codon positions, respectively. The inspection of the Markov chain Monte Carlo samples in Tracer indicates adequate mixing and convergence between the two runs. Stationarity was reached by the 500 000th generation; therefore, the first 10% of the total sample was discarded as the burn-in.

The inferred consensus tree contains strongly supported Asian clade subdivided into highly supported Alexandromys and marginally supported Pallasiinus lineages. The former subclade includes three groups with high posterior probabilities: (1) M. maximowiczii and M. sachalinensis; (2) M. miiddendorffii s.l., M. mongolicus; and M. gromovi (3) M. fortis and M. *limnophilus*. The branching order within the second subclade (M. oeconomus, +M. kikuchii and M. montebelli) is supported insufficiently. Bayesian analysis recovers a monophyletic group including most of other major branches of Microtus (Microtus s.s., Terricola, Agricola, Blanfordimys, and New World species), and thus places the Asian clade as one of the earliest offshoots within the genus, perhaps, with the splitting together Lasiopodomvs +Stenocranius clade.

The topology of the ML tree is similar to the one reconstructed by MrBayes with the exception of few details (i.e. sister position of *M. miiddendorffii* and *M. mongolicus* relative to *M. gromovi*, basal placement of *Lasiopodomys* + *Stenocranius* clade); however, none of the conflicting groupings receive any bootstrap support. Within the Asian clade, the same two major lineages are recovered; however, the support for *Pallasiinus* group is low (51%). The main groups found within *Alexandromys* in Bayesian analysis retain high to moderate support in the ML tree.

With the MP method, a single most parsimonious tree was retrieved [consistency index (CI) = 0.35; retention index (RI) = 0.58]. Again, the branching order for the Asian clade is the same as in Bayesian analysis, whereas the pattern of intrageneric basal radiation is different but unsupported. Bootstrap support for M. fortis + M. limnophilus grouping is only 69%. Exclusion of transitions at third codon positions resulted in a decrease of resolution: here, 252 equally parsimonious trees were found (CI = 0.39; RI = 0.65), bootstrap support was somewhat reduced for younger nodes, although the topology of the bootstrap consensus tree is consistent with those of ML, MP, and Bayesian trees. Most likely, the apparent decline of support should be explained by a lack of informative substitutions rather than a presence of conflicting phylogenetic signals.

In general, all three phylogenetic methods produced optimal trees with concordant topologies with respect to the pattern of relationships within the Asian clade. In neither case were highly supported incongruent clades found.

The results of the analyses with the Phaiomys group re-included (Fig. 2B) essentially agree with the pattern described above. The monophyly of the clade comprising these three species as well as the grouping of M. irene with M. clarkei were robustly supported by all three search criteria. In all trees this clade was positioned sister to Alexandromys + Pallasiinus; however, this placement received high support from Bayesian analysis only. Notably, the inclusion of the Phaiomys lineage reduced resolution at the base of the Asian clade, resulting in a substantial decrease in nodal support for both Pallasiinus and Alexandromys + Pallasiinus clades. The support for the monophyly of Alexandromys, however, remained unaltered. Moreover, if only M. leucurus was excluded from the analysis (results not shown), M. irene and M. clarkei formed an unsupported association with M. montebelli (ML and MP trees), thus compromising the monophyly of the Asian clade sensu Conroy & Cook (2000b). Microtus montebelli is compositionally closer to *M. irene* and *M. clarkei* (DI = 0.00 and 0.07, respectively; P = 1.00 and 0.18) than to M. oeconomus (DI = 0.08 and 0.32; P = 0.004 and 0.12). Accordingly, we may assume that the observed topological instability can be, at least partly, attributed to the effect of compositional convergence.

The average p-distance between haplotypes of Alexandromys and Pallasiinus is 10%, and the Phaiomys group is separated from them by 12%. The difference between the main groups within Alexandromys, including distance between M. fortis and M. limnophilus, is in the range 8.5-9.2%; M. miiddendorffii s.l., M. mongolicus, and M. gromovi differ by 5.8-6.3%; the average distance between M. maximowiczii and M. sachalinensis is 5.2%. The most divergent intraspecific haplotype lineages (European and Asian phylogroups of M. oeconomus, different lineages of M. maximowiczii) differ by up to 4% and 3.5%, accordingly.

MOLECULAR CLOCK

A hierarchical likelihood ratio test was performed in PAML applying a separate GTR+G model for each codon position and using the topology inferred in MrBayes with the *Phaiomys* group excluded. Strict clock assumption was rejected ($\chi^2 = 74.1$, d.f. = 45, P = 0.004). After inspecting root-to-tip distances in the ML tree, we identified the *Microtus mandarinus* + *Microtus gregalis* clade as the branch potentially responsible for clock violation. A relative rate test performed in RRTree demonstrates that the rate of synonymous substitutions in these two taxa is significantly higher (P = 0.01; however, because this test was conducted a posteriori, its result should be treated with caution). If *M. mandarinus* and *M. gregalis* are omitted, rate constancy holds for the rest of



© 2010 The Linnean Society of London, Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2010, 99, 595-613

Figure 2. A, Bayesian *cytb* tree for *Microtus* generated using separate models for the three codon positions. Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPP) and bootstrap values ($\geq 50\%$) for maximum likelihood, maximum parsimony using all substitutions, and maximum parsimony with third position transitions excluded are presented in the following order:

 $\frac{BPP/ML}{MP/MPtv3}$. Asterisks designate strongly supported intraspecific nodes, bootstrap/BPP values for which are omitted. The *Phaiomys* clade was excluded from the analyses. B, as in (A), but with the *Phaiomys* clade included.

the sample ($\chi^2 = 51.7$, d.f. = 43, P = 0.17). Given this result, we decided to exclude the two abovementioned species from the subsequent molecular clock analysis.

◄

An examination of bivariate plots between measures of sequence divergence based upon third position transversions only (D_{tv3}) and all substitution types at all codon positions (D_{1-3}) demonstrates that relationship between them is nonlinear. This conclusion is confirmed by the results of polynomial regression analysis indicating the significance of the quadratic component. Thus, as expected, rate decay proved to be much smaller for the tv3-based distance. If we assume that D_{tv3} accurately reflects time subsequent to clade separation, then D_{1-3} should be biased towards an underestimation of sequence divergence for older splits and, hence, given the position of our calibration point, towards overestimation of dates for all the rest. For example, based on D_{1-3} , the time of the Alexandromys-Pallasiimus dichotomy is overestimated by approximately 50% (1.6 Mya versus 1.1 Mya for D_{tv3}). It might be assumed that this effect is accounted for by the application of the same evolutionary model simultaneously to all codon positions, thus ignoring variation among them in substitution rate, base frequencies, etc. However, similar, although less pronounced, distortion is observed if D_{tv3} is plotted against node heights averaged across positions and calculated with position-specific models $(D_{1,2,3})$, suggesting that the latter measure is biased as well. Accordingly, it might appear to be logical to base all molecular date estimates on third position transversions exclusively. However, in this case, the number of observed substitutions will be insufficient for an accurate estimation of recent dates. For example, M. sachalinensis is separated from M. maximowiczii by just seven or eight transversions. To obtain both effective and unbiased estimates, we prefer to use values of D_{1-3} transformed in such a way that they are linearly related to D_{tv3} , and, hence, expectedly to time. Preliminary analysis demonstrated that relationship between D_{1-3} and D_{tv3} can be described with reasonable precision by the equation:

$$D_{\rm tv3} = B/A * [\exp(D_{1-3} * A) - 1]$$

This nonlinear regression (Fig. 3) accounts for 96% of total variation of D_{tv3} , its both coefficients (A and B)

are significantly different from zero. Using the above equation, transformed node heights and corresponding estimates of split dates were calculated from D_{1-3} -values. The obtained divergence times and their standard errors are given in Table 1. The latter incorporate error as a result of limited sequence length, regression error, and uncertainty of calibration date.

The results obtained suggest that the substitution rate of transversions at third codon position reaches approximately 4% per Myr (SE 0.65%). The rate for all substitution types at all codon positions estimated from transformed values of D_{1-3} is equal to the D_{tv3} rate divided by B, thus being close to 16% per Myr (corresponding to a divergence rate of approximately 32%, SE 7%). Biased divergence rate estimates obtained from the original D_{1-3} -values range from more than 30% for most recent splits down to 12–14% per Myr for basal-most nodes.

At this point, it should be noted that our age estimates were inferred from a single calibration point and that our molecular clock analysis relies on the assumption that D_{tv3} increases linearly with time; therefore, the present result can only be considered as preliminary. Given that rate decay is much more rapid for the time period of < 50 Kya (Henn *et al.*, 2009), the estimates for Late Pleistocene splits should be treated with more caution.

DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic relationships within Alexandromys

The most obvious result stemming from the present study is the presence of a highly supported lineage including *M. fortis*, *M. maximowiczii*, *M. sachalinensis*, *M. middendorffii* (s.l.), *M. mongolicus*, *M.* gromovi, and *M. limnophilus*. The content of this clade corresponds to that of the subgenus *Alexandromys s.s.* (sensu Pavlinov *et al.*, 1995), with the exception of *M. limnophilus*. The results obtained confirm a close affinity of *M. mongolicus* to *Alexandromys* but not to the *M. arvalis* group, thus being concordant with the cytogenetic data (Orlov *et al.*, 1983; Meyer *et al.*, 1996).

Four subclades are recovered within *Alexandromys* s.s., with the relationships among them remaining poorly resolved. The first group comprises *M. maxi*-



Figure 3. Relationship between node heights of ultrametric maximum likelihood trees calculated from all substitutions at all codon positions of *cytb* gene (D_{1-3}) and third codon transversions only (D_{tv3}). The regression line was fit to the data using a nonlinear estimation procedure based on the exponential model.

mowiczii and *M. sachalinensis*. One can expect that *Microtus mujanensis* and *Microtus evoronensis*, which are missing from our sample, also belong to this group because, according to the chromosome data, they are the closest relatives of *M. maximowiczii* (Meyer *et al.*, 1996). Additionally, it was shown that allozyme distances among the three species fall within the range of intraspecific divergence (Frisman *et al.*, 2008).

The second clade corresponds to an unexpected association of M. middendorffii s.l., M. mongolicus, and M. gromovi, which make up an unresolved trichotomy. A close phylogenetic relationship between M. mongolicus and M. middendorffii was never demonstrated before, although a similarity between karyotypes of these species was noted by Meyer et al. (1996). Our mitochondrial data support the species status of *M. gromovi*. At the same time, the inferred placement of M. gromovi as sister to M. middendorffii (or M. mongolicus + M. middendorffii) contradicts its affiliation with M. maximowiczii to which it was earlier attributed (Vorontsov et al., 1988). According to previous chromosome data (Meyer et al., 1996; Sheremetyeva et al., 2009), the largest pair of autosomes in both M. gromovi and M. mongolicus is acrocentric, whereas, in M. middendorffii, it is polymorphic, being either acrocentric or subtelocentric. In all other species, chromosomal elements homologous

to the first pair of *M. mongolicus* and *M. middendorffii* are either bi-armed (*M. maximowiczii*, *M. sachalinensis*), or rearranged as a result of translocations or fissions (*M. fortis*, *M. mujanensis*, *M. evoronensis*). Therefore, the presence of a large acrocentric could be regarded as a potential synapomorphy for a *middendorffii-mongolicus-gromovi* clade.

The other two groups of *Alexandromys s.s.* are both monotypic, represented by *M. fortis* and *M. limnophilus*, respectively. The mtDNA data in the present study indicate that these two species might be highly divergent sister taxa.

The position of *M. limnophilus* is an unexpected result of the present study. Previously, this species was either synonymized with *M. oeconomus* or considered to be its sibling species. The latter viewpoint is substantiated by the similarity of their karyotypes and hybridization data (Malygin *et al.*, 1990). The Mongolian sample examined in the present study belongs to the subspecies *Microtus limnophilus malygini* (Courant *et al.*, 1999); additional research is necessary to clarify its relationship with the nominal form distributed in Qaidam.

It should be emphasized that, although comparative cytogenetic data on *Microtus* are abundant (Agadzhanyan & Yatsenko, 1984; Meyer *et al.*, 1996), its accurate and comprehensive phylogenetic inter-

		SE (Myr)	Divergence of <i>cytb</i> estimated from maximum likelihood ultrametric tree	
Clade	Divergence time (Mya)		D_{1-3} (GTR+I+ Γ model)	$D_{ m tv3}$ (CF+I+ Γ model)
Chionomys / Microtus	2.69	0.44	0.333	0.201
Microtus basal radiation	2.20	0.20	0.302	0.175
Alexandromys / Pallasiimus	1.19	0.19	0.215	0.086
Alexandromys basal radiation	0.84	0.14	0.173	0.053
Microtus mongolicus + Microtus middendorffii/Microtus fortis	0.75	0.13	0.162	0.053
Microtus limnophilus/Microtus fortis	0.61	0.12	0.139	0.053
Microtus mongolicus /M.middendorffii	0.38	0.08	0.100	0.036
Microtus gromovi/Microtus middendorffii	0.32	0.07	0.087	0.033
Microtus middendorffii Yakutia1/Yakutia2	0.009	0.003	0.011	0.005
Microtus middendorffii Yakutia /Chukotka	0.045	0.013	0.021	0.005
Microtus middendorffii Taymyr/Yakutia + Chukotka	0.054	0.015	0.024	0.005
Microtus mongolicus Hentei/Chita	0.008	0.003	0.011	0.003
Microtus fortis basal radiation	0.013	0.005	0.008	0.003
Microtus sachalinensis/M.maximowiczii	0.27	0.06	0.077	0.024
Microtus maximowiczii Hentei/Chita	0.12	0.03	0.042	0.014
Microtus kikuchii/Microtus oeconomus	0.79	0.16	0.166	0.063
Microtus montebelli/Microtus oeconomus	0.95	0.17	0.187	0.081
Microtus oeconomus Beringian clade basal	0.020	0.007	0.014	0.000
Microtus oeconomus Beringian/Siberia	0.11	0.03	0.041	0.013
Microtus oeconomus Siberian clade, basal	0.016	0.006	0.013	0.000
Microtus oeconomus Europe/Siberia + Beringia	0.19	0.05	0.060	0.017
Microtus oeconomus Russia/Sweden	0.012	0.005	0.012	0.000
Microtus oeconomus Russia/Western Europe	0.11	0.03	0.038	0.000
Microtus socialis/Microtus arvalis	0.96	0.19	0.189	0.092
Microtus kirgizorum/Microtus arvalis	0.54	0.13	0.128	0.065
Terricola basal radiation	1.08	0.20	0.204	0.098
Terricola/Microtus s.s.	1.61	0.25	0.256	0.132
Terricola + Microtus s.s./Agricola	2.00	0.24	0.287	0.175
Agricola / Blanfordimys	1.36	0.24	0.233	0.112

Table 1. The estimates of divergence times and cytb divergence

 D_{1-3} , all substitutions types; D_{tv3} , transversions at third codon positions. Calibration date is given in bold.

pretation has not yet been accomplished. Thus, based on karyotype similarity, two subgroups were recognized within Alexandromys, the first of them includes highly polymorphic M. maximowiczii, M. mujanensis, and M. evoronensis, and the second includes M. mongolicus, M. middendorffii, M. fortis, and M. sachalinensis (Meyer et al., 1996). The lowest level of differentiation is observed between karyotypes of M. fortis and M. sachalinensis, which belong to different clades according to the mtDNA data. Nevertheless, given that no chromosomal features were identified as synapomorphies for the second group, we might regard the latter as a paraphyletic assemblage. If so, the apparent conflict between the two patterns should be regarded not as an indication of discordant evolution of chromosomal and mitochondrial characters, but rather as a result of methodological differences.

INTRASPECIFIC VARIATION

Our data on intraspecific variation of the species studied are insufficient for comprehensive phylogeographic implications; however, some interesting remarks are worthy of note.

Geographic variation revealed within M. fortis is low (sequence divergence < 1%), thus indicating a lack of differentiation between recognized subspecies *Microtus fortis michnoi* (Buryatia) and *Microtus fortis uliginosus* (Korea). Similarly, little interpopulation divergence is found in M. mongolicus. By contrast, haplotypes of M. maximowiczii from the Chita region and those from Hentiyn-Nuruu (Mongolia) are separated by a p-distance of more than 3%. To check for correspondence between the distribution of mtDNA lineages and the extensive chromosomal polymorphism reported in this species (Kovalskaya, Khotolkhu & Orlov, 1980), additional research is warranted.

Our sample of M. middendorffii s.l. includes specimens of both hyperboreus and middendorffii s.s. According to Litvinov (2001), voles distributed to the East of the Kolyma river should be attributed to the former taxon, whereas the latter is represented by specimens collected close to terra typica of middendorffii (southern Taymyr). However, our data do not reveal any substantial (> 2.0%) variation within this species group and, hence, provide no evidence supporting recognition of *M. hyperboreus* as a distinct species. At the same time, because three groups of haplotypes differing by approximately 1.8% were found within *M*. middendorffii s.l., it is possible that the pattern of relationships within it may be more complex than the simple *middendorffii* s.s./hyperboreus dichotomy. It is evident that phylogeographic structure of M. middendorffii s.l. requires a much more detailed examination before any definite taxonomic conclusions could be made.

Thus, intra-specific geographic variation found in Alexandromys falls within the range reported previously for other species of *Microtus* (Brunhoff *et al.*, 2003; Jaarola *et al.*, 2004; Martínková *et al.*, 2007). In neither case did we observe high level of differentiation (> 5%) between populations ascribed to the same species, as demonstrated for *Microtus savii* (Castiglia *et al.*, 2008), *Microtus subterraneus*, *Microtus agrestis* (Jaarola *et al.*, 2004) or *Microtus longicaudus* (Conroy & Cook, 2000a).

RELATIONSHIPS WITHIN THE ASIAN CLADE AND ITS POTENTIAL SISTER GROUPS

The results of our phylogenetic analysis are concordant with those of previous mtDNA studies (Conroy & Cook, 2000b; Jaarola et al., 2004) in recovering a monophyletic Asian clade, consisting of Alexandromys s.s. and Pallasiinus branches. In addition, cytb data indicate that the Asian clade might be viewed in a broader sense to include also a third lineage, currently represented by three species endemic to southeast Asia and Tibet (M. leucurus, M. irene and M. clarkei), and placed as the closest sister group to Alexandromys + Pallasiinus. The same pattern was produced by the combined analysis of genetic and morphological data on Arvicolinae (Robovský et al., 2008). However, the position of the *Phaiomys* clade as part of the Asian lineage was not robustly supported and, moreover, its inclusion resulted in a loss of support for the monophyly of Alexandromys + Pallasiinus. Therefore, we have to conclude that, based on available mitochondrial evidence, we can neither confidently resolve basal relationships in the Asian clade *s.l.*, nor even confirm its existence. At the same time, it should be stressed that grouping of M. oeconomus with M. kikuchii and its sister position to Alexandromys relative to Phaiomys/Neodon is supported by the data on GHR exon 10 (Galewski et al., 2006). The monophyly of the Asian clade (in its original definition) gains additional support from Zoo-FISH data (Lemskya, 2008) according to which Alexandromys and Pallasiinus (represented by M. maximowiczii and M. oeconomus, respectively) are the closest sister groups with respect to members of Lasiopodomys, Stenocranius, Terricola, Blanfordimys, Agricola, Sumeriomys, Microtus s.s., and, finally, M. (Subgen.?) clarkei.

In all our analyses, the support for the *Palassiinus* branch is either low or missing, critically depending on the presence/absence of the *Phaiomys* clade. From a cytogenetic standpoint, the three species with 2n = 30 (*M. oeconomus, M. kikuchii, M. montebelli*) are extremely similar because they share the same homologous banding patterns of almost all chromosomes and demonstrate specific synaptic behaviour of the sex chromosomes at meiotic prophase (Mekada *et al.*, 2001). Nevertheless, the validity of this and other groupings is worthy of being tested with a larger data set, including less known Asian taxa such as *Volemys, Neodon s.s.* and *Proedromys*, of which the relationships with the major microtine lineages remain to be established.

Based on allozyme data, the close relationship among East Palearctic *Pallasiinus*, *Alexandromys*, *Lasiopodomys*, and *Stenocranius* was suggested (Mezhzherin, Zykov & Morozov-Leonov, 1993). In our analysis *Lasiopodomys* and *Stenocranius*, albeit highly divergent between themselves, form a separate clade, well differentiated from other main branches of *Microtus*. This finding is consistent with the pattern recovered from the data on two nuclear genes (Abramson *et al.*, 2009). However, concerning the putative association of this lineage with the Asian clade, the *cytb* data are insufficient to reject or confirm it.

THE RATE OF MOLECULAR EVOLUTION

Based on the *cytb* data for *Microtus*, we have demonstrated that relationship between ML distances for the complete sequence and tv3 sequence divergence is nonlinear. It means that if the latter is supposed to increase linearly with time, the former would systematically underestimate the divergence between deeper nodes even if the best fit model (such as suggested

by MODELTEST) is applied. This pattern could be directly related to the apparent acceleration of molecular evolution for recent events, as discussed by Ho et al. (2005), or regarded as a manifestation of some more general phenomenon (Wayne, van Valkenburgh & O'Brien, 1991). It may be suggested that the observed decay of substitution rates could be accounted for, to a large extent, by the presence of mutation hot spots in mammalian mtDNA and a high rate of evolution of site-specific mutation rates (Galtier et al., 2006), which makes any adequate correction for multiple hits problematic. The results obtained in the present study highlight the necessity for a proper choice of methods for molecular dating and indicate the potential inadequacy of a straightforward approach based on simplistic models.

The divergence rate of third position transversions in Microtus is estimated at 8% per Myr, which corresponds to an instantaneous rate of up to 32% per Myr for all substitutions types at all codon positions. This value is much higher than the previous estimate of 13% per Myr obtained by Conroy & Cook (2000b) when applying a molecular clock approach essentially similar to the present one. Given that the same single calibration point was used in both studies, this discrepancy should be attributed to the effect of rate decay, which was ignored previously. Taking into account the accumulating bulk of data elucidating high variability of mitochondrial substitution rates in mammals (Nabholz et al., 2008) and its apparent acceleration in Microtus (Triant & deWoody, 2006), we regard our rate estimate as plausible.

PALEONTOLOGICAL EVIDENCE AND EVOLUTION OF THE ASIAN LINEAGE

The fossil record in the modern geographic range of Alexandromys and Pallasiinus voles is relatively scarce. At the beginning of the Early Pleistocene (approximately 1.8-1.5 Mya), most Microtus show primitive Allophaiomys dental morphology (Gromov & Polyakov, 1977; Rabeder, 1981; Rabeder, 1986; Erbajeva, 1998), and no lineages can be recognized yet. By the late Early Pleistocene, however, a number of faunas document the origin of *oeconomus*-type variability of m1. Mid Early Pleistocene faunas in West Siberia show a distinct percentage of oeconomus-like morphotypes among the dominant Allophaiomys samples (Zazhigin, 1980; Smirnov, Bolshakov & Borodin, 1986). Some of these early voles were described from north-eastern Siberia as Microtus reservatus (Zazhigin, 1998) and from Hebei (China) as Microtus minoeconomus (Zheng & Cai, 1991). In Eastern Europe, an abrupt (i.e. most likely migrational) appearance of oeconomus-like forms (Microtus protoeconomus; Rekovets, 1994) occured slightly later, between 1.0 and 0.8 Mya (Rekovets, 1994).

The genetic unity of diverse fossil *Microtus* in the Middle-Late Pleistocene of northern Asia is possibly emphasized by widespread *oeconomus*-like (ratticepoid) morphotypes of m1; for example, Middle Pleistocene *Microtus epiratticeps* from Choukoutien localities 1 and 3 in eastern China (Young, 1934; for other cases, see Agadjanian & Erbaeva, 1983; Frolova, 1985; Smirnov *et al.*, 1986; Zazhigin, 1998; Kuznetsova & Tesakov, 2004). A morphological transition from a primitive *oeconomus*-like morphotype to an advanced one was described for the endemic Japanese lineage comprising fossil Middle-Late Pleistocene *Microtus epiratticepoides* and recent *M. montebelli* (Kawamura, 1988).

Most recent 'eastern' Microtus species have advanced five-triangled m1. Only M. oeconomus and M. limnophilus retain four-triangle structure of this molar. Microtus middendorffii, M. mongolicus, M. sachalinensis and most other 'eastern' Microtus all occasionally show *oeconomus*-like morphotypes as the indication of the ancestral condition. Thus, there are sound reasons to assume affinities of all these voles marked by a specific dental complication. Another peculiar character shared by many extant species in the group is the relatively thick posterior enamel bands (trailing edges) on lower molars retaining a primitive layer of tangential enamel that is normally reduced in most arvaloid 'western' Microtus (von Koenigswald, 1980). Furthermore, M. middendorffii, M. gromovi, M. sachalinensis, and occasionally some other 'eastern' species have a very complex M3 with a peculiar occlusal field formed by fused T5 and T6 triangles (Fig. 4).

A close look at the genetic and morphological characters shows a conspicuous picture of mosaic and parallel evolution of dental characters. A striking mismatch between the mitochondrial phylogeny and the distribution of dental characters is evident. The most dentally simple M. oeconomus and M. limnophilus appear to retain almost identical plesiomorphic condition, whereas they also show a high level of genetic differentiation. Plesiomorphic thick enamel can be present in some closely-related species (M. middendorffii and M. gromovi), whereas the other species of the same group (M. mongolicus) shows well differentiated enamel. By contrast, two former species have the most advanced complex M3 and the latter species is distinct in the relatively simple structure of this molar, etc. The acquisition of a very complex M3 with frequently fused T5-T6 occurred independently at least in two lineages (M. middendorffii (+ M. gromovi) and M. sachalinensis + M. maximowiczii).

The palaeontological data do not contradict the suggested timing of most of the cladogenetic events



Figure 4. Upper (right) and lower (left) molar rows of *Microtus gromovi* (type specimen, ZMMU S-140238). Solid arrows indicate the position of T5 and T6 triangular prisms forming common occlusal field in M3. Dashed arrows indicate the thick enamel layer on the trailing edge of m1.

(Table 1). First, as indicated in the present study, the known fossil record does fit the hypothesis of splitting into different groups of eastern *Microtus* no later than the late Early Pleistocene, supporting our estimate of the split between *Alexandromys* and *Pallasiinus* at approximately 1.2 Mya. A possible last common ancestor of the two lineages could be *M. reservatus*. The presence of multiple lineages of eastern *Microtus* in the Middle Pleistocene supports the main species group differentiation in *Alexandromys* during this interval.

The divergence time between *M. miiddendorffii*, *M. mongolicus*, and *M. gromovi*, as well as between *M. maximowiczii* and *M. sachalinensis*, dates back to the late Middle Pleistocene. Hence, a widespread large form of *Microtus* from early Middle Pleistocene faunas of Eastern Europe (approximately 0.8–0.5 Mya), which is sometimes interpreted as a member of the *M. middendorffii* group (Agajanian, 1981), can hardly be

regarded as such. In many of the reported cases, however, well differentiated enamel and occlusal elements do not match the 'eastern'*Microtus* and indicate a different unrelated lineage.

With respect to other lineages of *Microtus*, it is worth noting that our age estimates are consistent with the fossil record indicating the first appearance of *Terricola* and *Microtus* s.s.-Sumeriomys approximately 1 Mya (Agusti, Oms & Pares, 1999; Cuenca Bescos, Canudo & Laplana, 2001; Maul & Markova, 2007).

In conclusion, we should emphasize that the examined group of *Microtus* is characterized by intensive chromosomal evolution that presumably promotes the rapid formation of reproductive barriers. Therefore, it might be expected that introgression of alien mitotypes is unlikely and, consequently, our mitochondrial tree should not deviate considerably from the true species phylogeny.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Sheftel B. I., A. Pil'nikov, V. M. Safronov, R. N. Smetanin, and I. D. Pavlov for their help with collecting material. We would like to thank Dr Natalia Martínková and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and useful recommendations regarding a previous version of the manuscript. This work was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project 08-04-00029, 09-05-00307 and 06-04-49134.

REFERENCES

- Abramson NI, Lebedev VS, Bannikova AA, Tesakov AS. 2009. Radiation events in the subfamily Arvicolinae (Rodentia): evidence from nuclear genes. *Doklady Biological Sciences* 428: 458–461.
- Agadzhanyan AK, Yatsenko VN. 1984. Phylogenetic interrelationships in voles of northern Eurasia. Archives of Zoological Museum of Moscow State University 22: 135–190 (in Russian).
- **Agadjanian AK, Erbaeva MA. 1983.** Late Cenozoic rodents and lagomorphs of the USSR. Moscow: Nauka (in Russian).
- Agajanian AK. 1981. Locality of small mammals of Early Pleistocene at the Ilovai River. In: Shik SM, ed. New data on stratigraphy and paleogeography of Upper Pliocene and Pleistocene of Central regions of the European part of the USSR. Moscow: Geolfond, 32–51.
- Agusti J, Oms O, Pares JM. 1999. Calibration of the Early-Middle Pleistocene transition in the continental beds of the Guadix-Baza basin (SE Spain). *Quaternary Science Review* 18: 1409–1417.
- Bannikova AA, Lebedev VS, Golenishchev FN. 2009. Taxonomic position of Afghan vole (Subgenus *Blanfordimys*) by the sequence of the mitochondrial *cytb* gene. *Russian Journal of Genetics* 45: 91–97.

- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological) 57: 289–300.
- Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. 2001. The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *Annals* of *Statistics* 29: 1165–1188.
- Bescos GC, Canudo JI, Laplana C. 2001. La séquence des rongeurs (Mammalia) des sites du Pléistocène inférieur et moyen d'Atapuerca (Burgos, Espagne). L'Anthropologie 105: 115–130.
- Brunhoff C, Galbreath KE, Fedorov VB, Cook J, Jaarola MA. 2003. Holarctic phylogeography of the root vole (*Micro*tus oeconomus): implications for late Quaternary biogeography of high latitudes. *Molecular Ecology* 12: 957– 968.
- **Bužan EV, Kryštufek B. 2008.** Phylogenetic position of *Chionomys gud* assessed from a complete cytochrome *b* gene. *Folia Zoologica* **57:** 274–282.
- Castiglia R, Annesi F, Aloise G, Amori G. 2008. Systematics of the *Microtus savii* complex (Rodentia, Cricetidae)via mitochondrial DNA analyses: paraphyly and pattern of sex chromosome evolution. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 46: 1157–1164.
- Conroy CJ, Cook JA. 2000a. Phylogeography of a postglacial colonizer: *Microtus longicaudus* (Rodentia: Muridae). *Molecular Ecology* 9: 165–175.
- **Conroy CJ, Cook JA. 2000b.** Molecular systematics of a Holarctic rodent (*Microtus*: Muridae). Journal of Mammalogy **81:** 344–359.
- Courant F, Brunet-Lecomte P, Volobouev V, Chaline J, Quéré JP, Nadachowski A, Montuire S, Bao G, Viriot L, Rausch R, Erbajeva M, Shi D, Giraudoux P. 1999.
 Karyological and dental identification of *Microtus limnophilus* in a large focus of alveolar echinococcosis (Gansu, China). Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences – Series III – Sciences de la Vie 322: 473–480.
- Ellerman JR. 1941. The families and genera of living rodents. London: British Museum of Natural History.
- **Erbajeva MA. 1998.** Allophaiomys in the Baikalian region. Paludicola. Rochester, NY: Rochester Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology.
- Frisman L, Kartavtseva I, Korobitsyna K, Sheremetyeva I. 2008. Grey voles (*Microtus Schrank*, 1798) of Russian Far East: geographical or chromosomal speciation model. *Eleventh International Conference Rodens et Spatiun* on Rodent Biology. Myshkin: 71.
- Frolova GG. 1985. Small mammals from the key section of the Pliocene-Quaternary deposits on the Krestovka river (Kolyma Lowland). In: Grinenko OV, Gusev GV, eds. Geology of the Cenozoic of Yakutia. Yakutsk: Yakutian Branch of the Siberian Division of the USSR Academy of Sciences, 46–58 (in Russian).
- Galewski T, Tilak M, Sanchez S, Chevret P, Paradis E, Douzery E. 2006. The evolutionary radiation of Arvicolinae rodents (voles and lemmings): relative contribution of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA phylogenies. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 6: 80.

- Galtier N, Enard D, Radondy Y, Bazin E, Belkhir K. 2006. Mutation hot spots in mammalian mitochondrial DNA. *Genome Research* 16: 215–222.
- Golenishchev FN, Malikov VG. 2006. The 'developmental conduit' of the tribe Microtini (Rodentia, Arvicolinae): systematic and evolutionary aspects. *Russian Journal of Theriology* 5: 17–24.
- **Gromov IM, Erbajeva MA. 1995.** The Mammals of Russia and adjacent territories. Lagomorphs and rodents. St. Petersburg: Zoological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences (in Russian).
- Gromov IM, Polyakov IYa. 1977. Voles (Microtinae). Fauna of the USSR. Mammals. 3 Leningrad: Nauka (in Russian).
- Henn BM, Gignoux CR, Feldman MW, Joanna L, Mountain JL. 2009. Characterizing the time dependency of human mitochondrial DNA mutation rate estimates. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 26: 217–230.
- Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Cooper A, Drummond AJ. 2005. Time dependency of molecular rate estimates and systematic overestimation of recent divergence times. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 22: 1561–1568.
- Ho SYW, Saarma U, Barnett R, Haile J, Shapiro B. 2008. The effect of inappropriate calibration: three case studies in molecular ecology. *PLoS ONE* 3: E1615.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F, Nielsen R, Bollback JP. 2001. Bayesian inference of phylogeny and its impact on evolutionary biology. *Science* 294: 2310–2314.
- Irwin DM, Kocher TD, Wilson AC. 1991. Evolution of the cytochrome b gene of mammals. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 32: 128–144.
- Jaarola M, Martínková N, Gündüz I, Brunhoff C, Zima J, Nadachowski A, Amori G, Bulatova NS, Chondropoulos B, Fraguedakis-Tsolis S, González-Esteban J, José López-Fuster M, Kandaurov AS, Kefelioğlu H, Luz Mathias M, Villatei I, Searle JB. 2004. Molecular phylogeny of the speciose vole genus *Microtus* (Arvicolinae, Rodentia) inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 33: 647–663.
- Jermiin LS, Ho SYW, Ababneh F, Robinson J, Larkum AWD. 2004. The biasing effect of compositional heterogeneity on phylogenetic estimates may be underestimated. *Systematic Biology* **53**: 638–643.
- Jobb G. 2008. TREEFINDER, June 2008. Distributed by the author. Available at: http://www.treefinder.de
- Kawamura Y. 1988. Quaternary rodent faunas in the Japanese Islands (Parts I, II). *Memoirs of the Faculty of Science* Kyoto University, Series Geology Mineral 53: 1–348.
- Kovalskaya YuM, Khotolkhu N, Orlov VN. 1980. Geographical distribution of chromosome mutations and structure of the species microtus maximowiczii (Rodentia, Cricetidae). Zoologicheskii Zhurnal 59: 1862–1869 (in Russian with English summary).
- Kumar S, Gadagkar SR. 2001. Disparity index: a simple statistic to measure and test the homogeneity of substitution patterns between molecular sequences. *Genetics* 158: 1321–1327.
- Kuznetsova TV, Tesakov AS. 2004. New data on Pleistocene fauna of small mammals from the northeastern coast

of the Laptev Sea (Bolshoy Lyakhovsky Island and Bykovsky Peninsula). In: Nikolsky PA, Pitulko VV, eds. *Natural history of the Russian East Arctics in Pleistocene and Holocene*. Moscow: Geos, 27–31 (in Russian).

- Lebedev VS, Bannikova AA, Tesakov AS, Abramson NI. 2007. Molecular phylogeny of the genus *Alticola* (Cricetidae, Rodentia) as inferred from the sequence of the cytochrome b gene. *Zoologica Scripta* 36: 547–563.
- Lemskya NA. 2008. Karyotipicheskaya evolucia Arvicolinae. [Karyotypical evolution of Arvicolinae]. PhD Thesis, Novosibirsk (in Russian).
- Litvinov UN. 2001. Soobchestva I populiacii melkyh mlekopytaychyh v Sibirskih ecosystemah. [Communities and populations of small mammals in Siberian ecosystems]. Novosibirsk: TSERIS (in Russian).
- Malygin VM, Orlov VN, Yatsenko VN. 1990. Species independence of *Microtus limnophilus*, its relations with *M. oeconomus* and distribution of these species in Mongolia. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* 69: 115–126 (in Russian).
- Martin RA, Peláez-Campomanes P, Honey JG, Fox DL, Zakrzewski RJ, Albright LB, Lindsay EH, Opdyke ND, Goodwin HT. 2008. Rodent community change at the Pliocene–Pleistocene transition in southwest Kansas and identification of the *Microtus* immigration event on the Central Great Plains. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 267: 196–207.
- Martin RA, Tesakov AS. 1998. Introductory remarks: does Allophaiomys exist? Paludicola 2: 1–7.
- Martínková N, Zima J, Jaarola M, Macholán M, Spitzenberger F. 2007. The origin and phylogenetic relationships of *Microtus bavaricus* based on karyotype and mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Folia Zoologica* 56: 39–49.
- Maul LC, Markova AK. 2007. Similarity and regional differences in quaternary arvicolid evolution in central and eastern Europe. *Quaternary International* 160: 81–99.
- Mekada K, Harada M, Lin LK, Koyasu K, Borodin PM, Oda SI. 2001. Pattern of X-Y chromosome pairing in the Taiwan vole, *Microtus kikuchii. Genome* 44: 27–31.
- Meyer MN. 1983. Evolution and systematic position of voles of the subgenus *Microtus* of the USSR fauna. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* 62: 90–101 (in Russian).
- Meyer MN, Golenishchev FN, Radjably SI, Sablina OV. 1996. [Voles (subgenus Microtus Schrank) of Russia and adjacent territories]. St Petersburg: Russian academy of Sciences. Proceedings of the Zoological Institute (in Russian).
- Mezhzherin SV, Zykov AE, Morozov-Leonov SY. 1993. Biochemical variation and genetic divergence in Palearctic voles (Arvicolidae): meadow voles *Microtus* Schrank 1798, snow voles *Chionomys* Miller 1908, water voles *Arvicola* Lacepede 1799. *Genetika* 29: 28–41 (in Russian with English summary).
- Modi SW. 1996. Phylogenetic history of LINE-l among arvicolid rodents. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 13: 633–641.
- Musser GG, Carleton MD. 2005. Superfamily Muroidea. In: Wilson DE, Reeder DM, eds. Mammal species of the world. A taxonomic and geographic reference, 3rd edn. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 894–1531.

- Nabholz B, Mauffrey JF, Bazin E, Galtier N, Glémin S. 2008. Determination of mitochondrial genetic diversity in mammals. *Genetics* 178: 351–361.
- Orlov VN, Yatsenko VN, Malygin VM. 1983. Homologia kariotypov I phylogenia vidov gruppi obiknovennyh polevok (Cricetidae, Rodentia). [Homology of karyotypes and phylogeny of the species of the voles (Cricetidae, Rodentia)]. Doklady Biological Sciences 269: 236–238 (in Russian).
- Pavlinov IJa, Yakhontov JeL, Agadjanian AK. 1995. [Mammals of Eurasia: systematical and geographical reference (3 parts). Pt. 1. Rodentia]. Archives of the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University. 32. Moscow: Moscow University Press (in Russian).
- **Pavlinov IYa. 2006.** Systematics of recent mammals. Archives of the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, 2ed edn. 47. Moscow: Moscow University Publisher (in Russian).
- Posada D, Crandall KA. 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14: 817–818.
- Rabeder G. 1981. Die Arvicoliden (Rodentia, Mammalia) aus dem Pliozan und dem alteren Pleistozan von Niederosterreich. Beitrage zur Palaontologie von Osterreich 8: 1– 373.
- Rabeder G. 1986. Herkunft und fruhe evolution der Gattung Microtus (Arvicolidae, Rodentia). Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde 51: 350–367.
- Radjably SI, Meyer MN, Golenishchev FN, Isaenko AA. 1984. [Karyological features of Mongolian vole and its relationships in the subgenus *Microtus* (Rodentia, Cricetidae)]. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* 63: 441–445 (in Russian).
- Rambaut A, Drummond A. 2007. *Tracer*, Version 1.4. Computer program distributed by the authors. Available at: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/
- **Rekovets LI. 1994.** Anthropogene small mammals of southern East Europe. Kiev: Naukova dumka (in Russian).
- Rekovets LI, Nadachowski A. 1995. Pleistocene voles (Arvicolidae) of the Ukraine. *Paleontologia i Evoluciya* 28–29: 145–245.
- Repenning Ch. 1992. Allophaiomys and the age of the Olyor Suite, Krestovka Sections, Yakutia. Washington, DC: US Geological Survey Bulletin.2037.
- Robinson M, Gouy M, Gautier C, Mouchiroud D. 1998. Sensitivity of the relative-rate test to taxonomic sampling. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 15: 1091–1098.
- **Robinson-Rechavi M, Huchon D. 2000.** RRTree: relativerate tests between groups of sequences on a phylogenetic tree. *Bioinformatics* **16:** 296–297.
- Robovský J, Řičánková V, Zrzavý J. 2008. Phylogeny of Arvicolinae (Mammalia, Cricetidae): utility of morphological and molecular data sets in a recently radiating clade. Zoologica Scripta 37: 571–590.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572–1574.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sheremetyeva IN, Kartavtseva IV, Voyta LL, Kryukov AP, Haring E. 2009. Morphometric analysis of intraspecific

variation in *Microtus maximowiczii* (Rodentia, Cricetidae) in relation to chromosomal differentiation with reinstatement of *Microtus gromovi* Vorontsov *et al.* 1988, stat. nov. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* **47:** 42–48.

- Smirnov NG, Bolshakov VN, Borodin AV. 1986. Pleistocene rodents from the north of western Siberia. Moscow: Nauka (in Russian).
- Stamatakis A. 2006. 'RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihoodbased phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models'. *Bioinformatics* 22: 2688–2690.
- StatSoft, Inc. 2001. STATISTICA (data analysis software system), Version 6. Available at: http://www.statsoft.com
- Swofford DL. 2000. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0b2. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. 2007. MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 24: 1596–1599.
- Tesakov AS, Vangengeim EA, Pevzner MA. 1999. Findings of the oldest rootless voles *Allophaiomys* and *Prolagurus* in Eastern Europe. *Doklady of the Russian Academy of Sciences/Earth Science Section* **366**: 452.
- Triant DA, DeWoody JA. 2006. Accelerated molecular evolution in *Microtus* (Rodentia) as assessed via complete mitochondrial genome sequences. *Genetica* 128: 95–108.
- Von Koenigswald W. 1980. Schmelzstruktur und morphologie in den molaren der Arvicolidae (Rodentia). Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft 539: 1–129.

- Vorontsov NN, Boeskorov GG, Lyapunova EA, Revin YuV. 1988. A new chromosome form and variability of molars in voles *Microtus maximowiczii* (Rodentia, Cricetidae). Zoologicheskii Zhurnal 67: 205-215 (in Russian).
- Wayne RK, van Valkenburgh B, O'Brien SJ. 1991. Molecular distance and divergence time in carnivores and primates. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 8: 297– 319.
- Yang DY, Eng B, Waye JS, Dudar JC, Saunders SR. 1998. Technical note: improved DNA extraction from ancient bones using silica-based spin columns. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 105: 539–543.
- Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 24: 1586–1591.
- Young CC. 1934. On the Insectivora, Chiroptera, Rodentia and Primates other than sinanthropus from locality 1 of the Choukoutien. *Paleontologica Sinica, ser C.* 8: 1– 160.
- Zazhigin VS. 1980. Late Pliocene and Anthropogene rodents of the south of Western Siberia. Moscow: Nauka.
- Zazhigin VS. 1998. Taxonomy and evolution of the genus Allophaiomys in Siberia. Paludicola 2: 116–125. Rochester: Rochester Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology.
- Zheng S, Cai B. 1991. Fossil micromammals from the Donggou section of Dongyaozitou, Yuxian County, Hebei Province. *Contrubutions in to INQUA XIII* 100–131.
- Zheng S, Zhang ZQ. 2000. Late Miocene–Early Pleistocene micromammals from Wenwanggou of Lingtai, Gansu, China. Vertbrata PalAsiatica 38: 58–71.

APPENI

Table A1. List of specimens analysed (Pallasiinus and Alexandromys subgenera)

Species	Collecting locality	Museum catalogue number, tissue or field code	Tree (Figs 2, 3) code	Length, bp	GenBank Accession number
Microtus (Alexandromys) middendorffii	Russia, Krasnoyarsk Territory, Dolgano-Nenets Autonomous District, Kureyka River,	S-181782 f.c.218	1	1140	FJ986314
Microtus (Alexandromys) middendorffii	68.1067.N, 92.7607.E Russia, Krasaoyansk Territory, Dolgano-Nenets Autonomous District, Kureyka River, 20.1269.N 00.7269.E	S-181793 f.c.219	1	1140	FJ986315
Microtus (Alexandromys) middendorffii	00.100 15, 92.100 D Russia, Republic of Yakutia-Sakha, Ust'-Mayskiy District, mouth of Chabda river, tributoru: 6 Moro. 60 777201 134 81.0D	S-183385 f.c.731	5	1140	FJ986316
Microtus (Alexandromys) middendorffii Microtus (Alexandromys) middendorffii	unuary or maya, oscillary, 194-014 by Chukoka Russia, Republic of Yakutia-Sakha, Nizhnekolymsky District, Cherskiy, Little Kol'	f.c.midd ZIN f.c.39309 Burke	4 3	991 1143	FJ986313 AF163898
Microtus (Alexandromys) mongolicus	Kovaya River, 69.240°N, 155.300°E Mongolia, Selenge Aymak, upper Ero river, 49.083°N , 107.283°E Monzelia, Scheme Aymak, upper Ero river, 40.083°N , 107.283°E	museum S-182195 f.c.5	1 0	1140	FJ986304
Microtus (Alexandromys) mongolicus Microtus (Alexandromys) mongolicus	Mougona, Science Aynak, upper Ero river, 49.005 N, 101.200 E Russia, Chita Region, Krasnokamenskiy District, 6 km S of Kuytun, 50.120°N, 118.670°E	1.c. <i>i</i> S-180448 f.c.141	0.1	1140 1140	FJ986309
Microtus (Alexandromys) mongolicus Microtus (Alexandromys) maximoniczii	Russia, Chita Region, Krasnokamenskiy District, 6 km S of Kuytun, 50.120°N, 118.670°E Mongolia Cantral Avmak Hantivn-Nurun, 47.992°N, 107.375°E.	S-180449 f.c.148 S-179480 f.c.3	4	1140 1140	FJ986310 FJ986303
Microtus (Alexandromys) maximowiczii	Russia, Chita Region, Kalganskiy District, Kozlovo, 51.190°N, 118.930°E	S-178597 f.c.90	5	1140	FJ986311
Microtus (Alexandromys) maximowiczii	Russia, Chita Region, Sretenskiy District, Chachakan River, right bank of Shilka R., 52.320°N, 118.210°E	S-178602 f.c.92	ŝ	1140	FJ986312
Microtus (Alexandromys) sachalinensis	Sakhalin Region, Noglikskiy District, Chayvo Bay, 52.530°N, 143.060°E	f.c.26	1	1134	FJ986317
Microtus (Alexandromys) sachalinensis	Sakhalin Region, Noglikskiy District, Chayvo Bay, 52.530°N, 143.060°E Monorlic Solution Armolt Junear Francisco 40.000001 107.0000E	f.c.19 c 100000	01 0	1133	FJ986318 E 1002200
Microtus (Alexandromys) fortis	Autogona, Security Aphnata, upper and truet, 20.000 11, 101.200 12 Russia, Chita Region, Aleksandrovo-Zavodskiy District, upper Kher-Khira River, E0.44.0007 11.000	S-178587 f.c.96	1	1140	FJ986307
Microtus (Alexandromys) fortis	outro 13, 110-110 B Russia, Buryatia, Dzhidinskiy District, Dodo-Ichetuy, 50.583°N. 105.500°E.	S-179172 f.c.186	4	1140	FJ986306
Microtus (Alexandromys) fortis Microtus (Alexandromys) gromoni	Korea, Kyonggi Prov., Dong Du Chun Russia Khabawwek Rawion IIda Rivar 95 km abova Chumiekan	S.176559 fr 335	ç	1140	AF163894 F.I986319
Microtus (Alexandromys) gromovi Microtus (Alexandromys) gromovi	Russia, Khabarovsk Region, Uda River, 25 km above Chumckan	S-176537 f.c. 320		419	FJ986320
Microtus (Alexandromys) gromovi	Russia, Republic of Yakutia-Sakha, Neryungrinskiy District, Bolshoye Toko Lake, 56.050°N, 130.810°E	S-140238		154	FJ986321
Microtus (Alexandromys) limnophilus	Mongolia, Kobdo Aymak, vicinities of Chadman, 47.692°N, 92.769°E	S-181025 f.c.175		1140	FJ986323
Microtus (Auexanaromys) umnopnuus Microtus (Pallasiinus) oeconomus	Mongona; Aroudo Aymark, Vicintues of Chauman, 4.1.052 N, 92.103 E Russia, Orenburg Region, Kuvandylskiyy District, source of Pismenka River, A 1-m S. of Domension, 5.1.5.1500, 7.7.4600	S-178553 f.c.86	3	$^{04.0}$ 1140	FJ986325
Microtus (Pallasiinus) oeconomus	* ALL 3 OL FEROMARS, J. 30. JV, 31. 400 L	f.c.189	7	1140	FJ986326
Microtus (Pallasiinus) oeconomus	Netherlands		1	1143	AY220006
Microtus (Pallasiinus) occonomus	Sweden		7 10	1143	AY219994
Microtus (rauasunus) oeconomus Microtus (Pallasiinus) oeconomus	Aldska Russia Kunil islands		4 v.	1140 1143	AI 220045 AF163902
Microtus (Pallasiinus) occonomus	Russia, Magadan Region		9	1143	AY305206
Microtus (Pallasiinus) kikuchii	Taiwan … ·		1	1143	AF163896
Microtus (Pallasunus) kikuchu Microtus (Pallasiinus) montebelli	lanwan Japan, Honshu		24	1143 1143	AF348082 AF163900
	~ ~				

Cytb sequences

Primer	Sequence $(5' \text{ to } 3')$	Reference	
L14728	GACATGAAAAATCATCGTTGTTATT	Lebedev et al. (2007)	
H15906arvic	ACTGGTTTACAAGACCAGTGTAAT	Lebedev et al. (2007)	
H15576MO	GATCGTAGGATGGCGTAGG	Brunhoff et al. (2003)	
L555_East	GCCCTTTATCATCACCGCCCTA	Present study	
L410_East	AAATATCATTCTGAGGAGCCACAGTAATC	Present study	
H669_East	CACCTAGGAAGTCTTTGATTGTGTAG	Present study	
L506MM	AGACAAAGCCACCCTAACACGATT	Present study	

Table A2. Primers used for polymerase chain reaction amplification and sequencing of the *cytb* gene in the genus *Microtus*

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Species examined in the study, base composition in *Microtus* and *Chionomys* and the results of pairwise tests for compositional homogeneity between *Alexandromys–Pallasiinus* and other microtine lineages (sequences presented in Fig. 2 are marked by asterisks).

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.